

Simulación determinista de un MOSFET de doble puerta. Implementación paralela.

F. Vecil, J.M. Mantas, M.J. Cáceres, C. Sampedro, A. Godoy, F. Gámiz

Granada, 19 de junio de 2015

Outline

- 1 The model
 - Introduction
 - Modelling
- 2 Numerical schemes
 - Iterative schemes for the Schrödinger-Poisson block
 - Solvers for Schrödinger and Poisson
 - Numerical methods for the BTE
 - Parallelization on CPU
 - Parallelization on GPU
- 3 Experiments
 - Long-time behavior
 - Parallel
 - Comparison to Monte-Carlo
 - Plasma oscillations (from the one-valley solver)


Outline

- 1 The model
 - Introduction
 - Modelling
- 2 Numerical schemes
 - Iterative schemes for the Schrödinger-Poisson block
 - Solvers for Schrödinger and Poisson
 - Numerical methods for the BTE
 - Parallelization on CPU
 - Parallelization on GPU
- 3 Experiments
 - Long-time behavior
 - Parallel
 - Comparison to Monte-Carlo
 - Plasma oscillations (from the one-valley solver)

Geometry

The role of the insulating layers

Electrons are trapped inside a 3.15 V-deep well along the z -dimension. For each slice $x = \text{const}$ of the device, the energy levels are thus discrete.



The doping

This $p^+ - p - p^+$ device possesses a 10^{26}m^{-3} doping at the source and the drain, 10^{18}m^{-3} at the channel. The doping attempts to control the electrical properties (conductivity) of the device.

The gates

The gates are metallic contacts. The potential applied at them has the role of a “tap” that allows or prevents the flow of current across the device.

Outline

- 1 **The model**
 - Introduction
 - **Modelling**
- 2 Numerical schemes
 - Iterative schemes for the Schrödinger-Poisson block
 - Solvers for Schrödinger and Poisson
 - Numerical methods for the BTE
 - Parallelization on CPU
 - Parallelization on GPU
- 3 Experiments
 - Long-time behavior
 - Parallel
 - Comparison to Monte-Carlo
 - Plasma oscillations (from the one-valley solver)

The confinement

Dimensional coupling

Electrons are **particles** along the x -dimension, **waves** along the z -dimension.

Description of the confinement

A set of 1D Schrödinger eigenvalue problems describe the electrons along z .

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2} \frac{d}{dz} \left[\frac{1}{m_{z,\nu}} \frac{d\psi_{\nu,p}[V]}{dz} \right] - q(V + V_c) \psi_{\nu,p}[V] = \epsilon_{\nu,p}[V] \psi_{\nu,p}[V]$$

Subbands and wave functions

The eigenvalues $\{\epsilon_{\nu,p}\}_{(\nu,p) \in \{1,2,3\} \times \mathbb{Z}_{>0}}$ represent the energy levels, called *subbands* in physics.

The eigenfunctions $\{\psi_{\nu,p}(\cdot)\}_{(\nu,p) \in \{1,2,3\} \times \mathbb{Z}_{>0}}$ are called *wave functions* in physics.

Electron population

The subbands decompose the electron population of the ν^{th} **valley** into independent populations. The densities are indexed on the pair (ν, p) .

The confinement

Dimensional coupling

Electrons are **particles** along the x -dimension, **waves** along the z -dimension.

Description of the confinement

A set of 1D Schrödinger eigenvalue problems describe the electrons along z .

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2} \frac{d}{dz} \left[\frac{1}{m_{z,\nu}} \frac{d\psi_{\nu,p}[V]}{dz} \right] - q(V + V_c) \psi_{\nu,p}[V] = \epsilon_{\nu,p}[V] \psi_{\nu,p}[V]$$

Subbands and wave functions

The eigenvalues $\{\epsilon_{\nu,p}\}_{(\nu,p) \in \{1,2,3\} \times \mathbb{Z}_{>0}}$ represent the energy levels, called *subbands* in physics.

The eigenfunctions $\{\psi_{\nu,p}(\cdot)\}_{(\nu,p) \in \{1,2,3\} \times \mathbb{Z}_{>0}}$ are called *wave functions* in physics.


Electron population

The subbands decompose the electron population of the ν^{th} **valley** into independent populations. The densities are indexed on the pair (ν, p) .

Band structure

The three valleys

The Si band structure presents six minima in the first Brillouin zone:



The axes of the ellipsoids are disposed along the x , y and z axes of the reciprocal lattice. The three minima have the same value, therefore there is no gap.

Band structure

Non-parabolicity

The band structure around the three minima can be expanded following the Kane non-parabolic approximation (ν indexes the valley):

$$\epsilon_{\nu}^{\text{kin}}(k_x, k_y) = \frac{\hbar^2}{1 + \sqrt{1 + 2\tilde{\alpha}_{\nu}\hbar^2 \left(\frac{k_x^2}{m_e m_{x,\nu}} + \frac{k_y^2}{m_e m_{y,\nu}} \right)}} \left(\frac{k_x^2}{m_e m_{x,\nu}} + \frac{k_y^2}{m_e m_{y,\nu}} \right),$$

where $m_{x,\nu}$ and $m_{y,\nu}$ are the effective masses along the unconfined dimensions and the $\tilde{\alpha}_{\nu}$ are the Kane dispersion factors.

z -direction

The band structure does not depend on z as the carriers are not free to move along that direction.

Electron population

The total amount of carriers is split into independent populations, one for each valley. We shall index them $\nu = 1, 2, 3$.

The unconfined dimension

BTE

The Boltzmann Transport Equation (one for each pair (ν, p)) reads

$$\frac{\partial f_{\nu,p}}{\partial t} + \overbrace{\frac{1}{\hbar} \frac{\partial \epsilon_{\nu}^{\text{kin}}}{\partial k_x} \frac{\partial f_{\nu,p}}{\partial x}}^{\text{free motion}} - \overbrace{\frac{1}{\hbar} \frac{\partial \epsilon_{\nu,p}}{\partial x} \frac{\partial f_{\nu,p}}{\partial k_x}}^{\text{force field}} = \overbrace{\mathcal{Q}_{\nu,p}[f]}^{\text{scatterings}},$$

$$f_{\nu,p}(t=0, x, \mathbf{k}) = \underbrace{\varrho_{\nu,p}^{\text{eq}}(x)}_{\text{equil. dens.}} \underbrace{M_{\nu}(\mathbf{k})}_{\text{Maxw.}}$$

The electron-phonon interaction

Electrons are scattered by the vibration of the crystal lattice, described as phonons:

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\nu,p}[f] = \sum_s \sum_{\nu', p'} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} [S_{(\nu', p', \mathbf{k}') \rightarrow (\nu, p, \mathbf{k})}^s f_{\nu', p'}(\mathbf{k}') - S_{(\nu, p, \mathbf{k}) \rightarrow (\nu', p', \mathbf{k}')}^s f_{\nu, p}(\mathbf{k})] d\mathbf{k}'.$$

Remark. In an unconfined setting, we would rather have something like

$$\mathcal{Q}[f] = \sum_s \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [S_{\mathbf{k}' \rightarrow \mathbf{k}}^s f(\mathbf{k}') - S_{\mathbf{k} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}'}^s f(\mathbf{k})] d\mathbf{k}'.$$

Eigenstates, mixed states and classical states.

The classical states are the magnitudes which only depend on the unconfined dimension x , while mixed states depend on both x and z .

Eigenstates

The subbands and the wave functions $\{\epsilon_{\nu,p}(x), \psi_{\nu,p}(x, \cdot)\}_{(\nu,p) \in \{1,2,3\} \times \mathbb{Z}_{>0}}$ are eigenstates; they depend on x only as a parameter.

Classical states

The pdf's $\{f_{\nu,p}(t, x, \mathbf{k})\}_{\nu,p}$ are classical states, therefore the surface density

$$\varrho(t, x) = 2 \sum_{\nu=1}^3 \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_{\nu,p}(t, x, \mathbf{k}) \, d\mathbf{k}$$

is a classical state too, and in general most of the macroscopic magnitudes.

Mixed states

The electrostatic potential $V(x, z)$ and the volume density

$$N(t, x, z) = 2 \sum_{\nu=1}^3 \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_{\nu,p}(t, x, \mathbf{k}) \, d\mathbf{k} |\psi_{\nu,p}(t, x, z)|^2 \text{ are mixed states.}$$

The model

BTE

The Boltzmann Transport Equation (one for each pair (ν, p)) reads

$$\frac{\partial f_{\nu,p}}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{\hbar} \frac{\partial \epsilon_{\nu}^{\text{kin}}}{\partial k_x} \frac{\partial f_{\nu,p}}{\partial x} - \frac{1}{\hbar} \frac{\partial \epsilon_{\nu,p}}{\partial x} \frac{\partial f_{\nu,p}}{\partial k_x} = \mathcal{Q}_{\nu,p}[f].$$

Schrödinger-Poisson block

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2} \frac{d}{dz} \left[\frac{1}{m_{z,\nu}} \frac{d\psi_{\nu,p}[V]}{dz} \right] - q(V + V_c) \psi_{\nu,p}[V] = \epsilon_{\nu,p}[V] \psi_{\nu,p}[V]$$

$$-\text{div}_{x,z} [\epsilon_R \nabla_{x,z} V] = -\frac{q}{\epsilon_0} (N[V] - N_D), \quad N[V] = 2 \sum_{\nu,p} \varrho_{\nu,p} |\psi_{\nu,p}[V]|^2$$

These equations cannot be decoupled because we need the **eigenfunctions** to compute the potential, and we need the potential to compute the eigenfunctions.

The model

BTE

The Boltzmann Transport Equation (one for each pair (ν, p)) reads

$$\frac{\partial f_{\nu,p}}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{\hbar} \frac{\partial \epsilon_{\nu}^{\text{kin}}}{\partial k_x} \frac{\partial f_{\nu,p}}{\partial x} - \frac{1}{\hbar} \frac{\partial \epsilon_{\nu,p}}{\partial x} \frac{\partial f_{\nu,p}}{\partial k_x} = \mathcal{Q}_{\nu,p}[f].$$

Schrödinger-Poisson block

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2} \frac{d}{dz} \left[\frac{1}{m_{z,\nu}} \frac{d\psi_{\nu,p}[\mathbf{V}]}{dz} \right] - q(V + V_c) \psi_{\nu,p}[\mathbf{V}] = \epsilon_{\nu,p}[\mathbf{V}] \psi_{\nu,p}[\mathbf{V}]$$

$$-\text{div}_{x,z} [\epsilon_R \nabla_{x,z} V] = -\frac{q}{\epsilon_0} (N[\mathbf{V}] - N_D), \quad N[\mathbf{V}] = 2 \sum_{\nu,p} \varrho_{\nu,p} |\psi_{\nu,p}[\mathbf{V}]|^2$$

These equations cannot be decoupled because we need the **eigenfunctions** to compute the potential, and we need the potential to compute the eigenfunctions.

The model

The electron-phonon interactions

The electron-phonon operator takes into account the phonon scattering mechanism. It reads

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\nu,p}[f] = \sum_s \sum_{\nu',p'} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} [S_{(\nu',p',k') \rightarrow (\nu,p,k)}^s f_{\nu',p'}(\mathbf{k}') - S_{(\nu,p,k) \rightarrow (\nu',p',k')}^s f_{\nu,p}(\mathbf{k})] d\mathbf{k}'.$$

Structure of the S^s

The missing dimension of the wave-vector $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{R}^2$, instead of $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{R}^3$, is replaced by an overlap integral $W_{(\nu,p) \leftrightarrow (\nu',p')}$:

$$S_{(\nu,p,k) \rightarrow (\nu',p',k')}^s = C_{\nu \rightarrow \nu'} \frac{1}{W_{(\nu,p) \leftrightarrow (\nu',p')}} \delta(\epsilon_{\nu',p'}^{\text{tot}}(\mathbf{k}') - \epsilon_{\nu,p}^{\text{tot}}(\mathbf{k}) \pm \text{some energy})$$

$$\frac{1}{W_{(\nu,p) \leftrightarrow (\nu',p')}} = \int_0^{L_z} |\psi_{\nu,p}|^2 |\psi_{\nu',p'}|^2 dz, \quad [W] = m.$$

The model

The electron-phonon interactions

The electron-phonon operator takes into account the phonon scattering mechanism. It reads

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\nu,p}[f] = \sum_s \sum_{\nu',p'} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} [S_{(\nu',p',k') \rightarrow (\nu,p,k)}^s f_{\nu',p'}(\mathbf{k}') - S_{(\nu,p,k) \rightarrow (\nu',p',k')}^s f_{\nu,p}(\mathbf{k})] d\mathbf{k}'.$$

Structure of the S^s


The missing dimension of the wave-vector $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{R}^2$, instead of $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{R}^3$, is replaced by an overlap integral $W_{(\nu,p) \leftrightarrow (\nu',p')}$:

$$S_{(\nu,p,k) \rightarrow (\nu',p',k')}^s = C_{\nu \rightarrow \nu'} \frac{1}{W_{(\nu,p) \leftrightarrow (\nu',p')}} \delta(\epsilon_{\nu',p'}^{\text{tot}}(\mathbf{k}') - \epsilon_{\nu,p}^{\text{tot}}(\mathbf{k}) \pm \text{some energy})$$

$$\frac{1}{W_{(\nu,p) \leftrightarrow (\nu',p')}} = \int_0^{L_z} |\psi_{\nu,p}|^2 |\psi_{\nu',p'}|^2 dz, \quad [W] = m.$$

The model

Surface roughness



The scattering rate is

$$S_{(\nu,p,k) \rightarrow (\nu,p,k')}^{\text{SR}} = \frac{(\Delta_m)^2 (\lambda_m)^2 e^2}{4\pi\hbar} \cdot \mathcal{I}_{\nu,p}^{\text{SR}} \cdot \frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{|\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}'|^2 (\lambda_m)^2}{2}\right)^{3/2}} \cdot \delta(\epsilon_{\nu,p}^{\text{tot}}(\mathbf{k}) - \epsilon_{\nu,p}^{\text{tot}}(\mathbf{k}'))$$

where the overlap integral is $\mathcal{I}_{\nu,p}^{\text{SR}}(x) = \left| \int_0^{L_z} |\psi_{\nu,p}(x,z)|^2 \frac{\Delta V(x,z)}{\Delta_m} dz \right|^2$.

Initial condition

Strategy

We want to initialize the system under the following constraints:

- Fulfill electrical neutrality at the source contact:

$$\int_0^{L_z} N_D(0, z) dz = \int_0^{L_z} N(0, z) dz.$$

- Have a thermodynamical equilibrium for the system, i.e. a distribution which is a zero for both the BTE and the scattering operator.
- (Cope with the former work of Carlos and Andrés.)

Step 1: the potential at the metallic contacts

Solve Schrödinger-Poisson for the following density:

$$N[V] = \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{m_e \kappa_B T_L}{\hbar^2} \sum_{\nu, p} \sqrt{m_{x, \nu} m_{y, \nu}} \ln \left(1 + e^{-\frac{\epsilon_{\nu, p}[V](x) - \epsilon_F}{\kappa_B T_L}} \right) |\psi_{\nu, p}[V](x, z)|^2$$

with homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions everywhere except at gate contacts. We retain the profile of V at the contacts: $V_b(z) = V(0, z)$.

Initial condition

Strategy

We want to initialize the system under the following constraints:

- Fulfill electrical neutrality at the source contact:

$$\int_0^{L_z} N_D(0, z) dz = \int_0^{L_z} N(0, z) dz.$$

- Have a thermodynamical equilibrium for the system, i.e. a distribution which is a zero for both the BTE and the scattering operator.
- (Cope with the former work of Carlos and Andrés.)

Step 1: the potential at the metallic contacts

Solve Schrödinger-Poisson for the following density:

$$N[V] = \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{m_e \kappa_B T_L}{\hbar^2} \sum_{\nu, p} \sqrt{m_{x, \nu} m_{y, \nu}} \ln \left(1 + e^{-\frac{\epsilon_{\nu, p}[V](x) - \epsilon_F}{\kappa_B T_L}} \right) |\psi_{\nu, p}[V](x, z)|^2$$

with homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions everywhere except at gate contacts. We retain the profile of V at the contacts: $V_b(z) = V(0, z)$.

Initial condition


Step 2: the thermodynamical equilibrium

Solve Schrödinger-Poisson problem for the following density:

$$N[V] = \frac{\int_0^{L_z} N_D(0, z) dz}{\sum_{\nu', p'} \sqrt{m_{x, \nu'} m_{y, \nu'}} e^{-\frac{\epsilon_{\nu', p}[V_b]}{\kappa_B T_L}}} \sum_{\nu, p} \sqrt{m_{x, \nu} m_{y, \nu}} e^{-\frac{\epsilon_{\nu, p}[V](x)}{\kappa_B T_L}} |\psi_{\nu, p}[V](x, z)|^2$$

with Dirichlet conditions at the four metallic contacts and gates, homogeneous Neumann elsewhere. Now the surface densities are of the form

$\rho_{\nu, p}^{\text{eq}} = C e^{-\frac{\epsilon_{\nu, p}(x)}{\kappa_B T_L}}$ and are, therefore, a zero for both Boltzmann and the scattering operator.



Outline

- 1 The model
 - Introduction
 - Modelling
- 2 Numerical schemes
 - Iterative schemes for the Schrödinger-Poisson block
 - Solvers for Schrödinger and Poisson
 - Numerical methods for the BTE
 - Parallelization on CPU
 - Parallelization on GPU
- 3 Experiments
 - Long-time behavior
 - Parallel
 - Comparison to Monte-Carlo
 - Plasma oscillations (from the one-valley solver)

The Newton scheme

The functional

Solving the Schrödinger-Poisson block

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2} \frac{d}{dz} \left[\frac{1}{m_{z,\nu}} \frac{d\psi_{\nu,p}[V]}{dz} \right] - q(V + V_c) \psi_{\nu,p}[V] = \epsilon_{\nu,p}[V] \psi_{\nu,p}[V]$$
$$-\operatorname{div}[\epsilon_R \nabla V] = -\frac{q}{\epsilon_0} (N[V] - N_D)$$

is equivalent to seeking for the zero, under the constraints of the Schrödinger equation, of the functional $P[V]$

$$P[V] = -\operatorname{div}(\epsilon_R \nabla V) + \frac{q}{\epsilon_0} (N[V] - N_D),$$

The scheme

which is achieved by means of a Newton-Raphson iterative scheme

$$dP(V^{\text{old}}, V^{\text{new}} - V^{\text{old}}) = -P[V^{\text{old}}], \quad d = \text{Gâteaux-derivative.}$$

The Newton scheme

The functional

Solving the Schrödinger-Poisson block

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2} \frac{d}{dz} \left[\frac{1}{m_{z,\nu}} \frac{d\psi_{\nu,p}[V]}{dz} \right] - q(V + V_c) \psi_{\nu,p}[V] = \epsilon_{\nu,p}[V] \psi_{\nu,p}[V]$$

$$-\operatorname{div}[\epsilon_R \nabla V] = -\frac{q}{\epsilon_0} (N[V] - N_D)$$


is equivalent to seeking for the zero, under the constraints of the Schrödinger equation, of the functional $P[V]$

$$P[V] = -\operatorname{div}(\epsilon_R \nabla V) + \frac{q}{\epsilon_0} (N[V] - N_D),$$

The scheme

which is achieved by means of a Newton-Raphson iterative scheme

$$dP(V^{\text{old}}, V^{\text{new}} - V^{\text{old}}) = -P[V^{\text{old}}], \quad d = \text{Gâteaux-derivative.}$$



The iterations

Derivatives

The Gâteaux-derivatives of the eigenproperties are needed:

$$\begin{aligned} d\epsilon_{\nu,p}(V, U) &= -q \int U(\zeta) |\psi_{\nu,p}[V](\zeta)|^2 d\zeta \\ d\psi_{\nu,p}(V, U) &= -q \sum_{p' \neq p} \frac{\int U(\zeta) \psi_{\nu,p}[V](\zeta) \psi_{\nu,p'}[V](\zeta) d\zeta}{\epsilon_{\nu,p}[V] - \epsilon_{\nu,p'}[V]} \psi_{\nu,p'}[V](z). \end{aligned}$$

Iterations

After computing the Gâteaux-derivative of the density and developping calculations, we are led to a Poisson-like equation

$$\begin{aligned} & -\operatorname{div}(\epsilon_R \nabla V^{\text{new}}) + \int_0^{L_z} \mathcal{A}[V^{\text{old}}](z, \zeta) V^{\text{new}}(\zeta) d\zeta \\ &= -\frac{q}{\epsilon_0} (N[V^{\text{old}}] - N_D) + \int_0^{L_z} \mathcal{A}[V^{\text{old}}](z, \zeta) V^{\text{old}}(\zeta) d\zeta, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{A}[V]$ is essentially the Gâteaux-derivative of the density $N[V]$.

The iterations

Derivatives

The Gâteaux-derivatives of the eigenproperties are needed:

$$\begin{aligned}d\epsilon_{\nu,p}(V, U) &= -q \int U(\zeta) |\psi_{\nu,p}[V](\zeta)|^2 d\zeta \\d\psi_{\nu,p}(V, U) &= -q \sum_{p' \neq p} \frac{\int U(\zeta) \psi_{\nu,p}[V](\zeta) \psi_{\nu,p'}[V](\zeta) d\zeta}{\epsilon_{\nu,p}[V] - \epsilon_{\nu,p'}[V]} \psi_{\nu,p'}[V](z).\end{aligned}$$

Iterations

After computing the Gâteaux-derivative of the density and developing calculations, we are led to a Poisson-like equation

$$\begin{aligned}& -\operatorname{div}(\epsilon_R \nabla V^{\text{new}}) + \int_0^{L_z} \mathcal{A}[V^{\text{old}}](z, \zeta) V^{\text{new}}(\zeta) d\zeta \\ &= -\frac{q}{\epsilon_0} (N[V^{\text{old}}] - N_D) + \int_0^{L_z} \mathcal{A}[V^{\text{old}}](z, \zeta) V^{\text{old}}(\zeta) d\zeta,\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{A}[V]$ is essentially the Gâteaux-derivative of the density $N[V]$.

Comparison Newton-Raphson vs. Gummel


Gummel

$$-\operatorname{div}(\varepsilon_R \nabla V^{\text{new}}) + \frac{q^2}{\varepsilon_0 k_B T_L} N V^{\text{new}} = -\frac{q}{\varepsilon_0} (N - N_D) + \frac{q^2}{\varepsilon_0 k_B T_L} N V^{\text{old}}$$


Newton-Raphson

$$-\operatorname{div}(\varepsilon_R \nabla V^{\text{new}}) + \int_0^{L_z} \mathcal{A}(z, \zeta) V^{\text{new}}(\zeta) d\zeta = -\frac{q}{\varepsilon_0} (N - N_D) + \int_0^{L_z} \mathcal{A}(z, \zeta) V^{\text{old}}(\zeta) d\zeta$$



Comparison



(a) Newton-Raphson








(b) Gummel





Iterative schemes for the Schrödinger-Poisson block


Comparison Newton-Raphson vs. Gummel

Gummel vs NR





Framework



Outline

- 1 The model
 - Introduction
 - Modelling
- 2 Numerical schemes
 - Iterative schemes for the Schrödinger-Poisson block
 - **Solvers for Schrödinger and Poisson**
 - Numerical methods for the BTE
 - Parallelization on CPU
 - Parallelization on GPU
- 3 Experiments
 - Long-time behavior
 - Parallel
 - Comparison to Monte-Carlo
 - Plasma oscillations (from the one-valley solver)

Numerical methods

We need to solve the Schrödinger eigenvalue problem and Poisson equations.

The Schrödinger equation

Equation

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2} \frac{d}{dz} \left[\frac{1}{m_{z,\nu}} \frac{d\psi_{\nu,p}}{dz} \right] - q(V + V_c) \psi_{\nu,p} = \epsilon_{\nu,p} \psi_{\nu,p}$$

is discretized by alternate finite differences for the derivatives then the symmetric matrix is diagonalized by a LAPACK routine called DSTEQR.

The Poisson equation

We need to solve equations like

$$-\text{div} [\epsilon_R \nabla V] + \int_0^{L_z} \mathcal{A}(z, \zeta) V(\zeta) d\zeta = \text{rhs}$$

The derivatives are discretized by finite differences in alternate directions, the integral is computed via trapezoid rule and the banded linear system is solved by means of Library of Iterative Solvers “-i idrs -p iluc -tol 1.0e-12”.

Numerical methods

We need to solve the Schrödinger eigenvalue problem and Poisson equations.

The Schrödinger equation

Equation

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2} \frac{d}{dz} \left[\frac{1}{m_{z,\nu}} \frac{d\psi_{\nu,p}}{dz} \right] - q(V + V_c) \psi_{\nu,p} = \epsilon_{\nu,p} \psi_{\nu,p}$$

is discretized by alternate finite differences for the derivatives then the symmetric matrix is diagonalized by a LAPACK routine called DSTEQR.

The Poisson equation

We need to solve equations like

$$-\operatorname{div} [\epsilon_R \nabla V] + \int_0^{L_z} \mathcal{A}(z, \zeta) V(\zeta) d\zeta = \text{rhs}$$

The derivatives are discretized by finite differences in alternate directions, the integral is computed via trapezoid rule and the banded linear system is solved by means of Library of Iterative Solvers “*-i idrs -p iluc -tol 1.0e-12*”.

Numerical methods

We need to solve the Schrödinger eigenvalue problem and Poisson equations.

The Schrödinger equation

Equation

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2} \frac{d}{dz} \left[\frac{1}{m_{z,\nu}} \frac{d\psi_{\nu,p}}{dz} \right] - q(V + V_c) \psi_{\nu,p} = \epsilon_{\nu,p} \psi_{\nu,p}$$

is discretized by alternate finite differences for the derivatives then the symmetric matrix is diagonalized by a LAPACK routine called DSTEQR.

The Poisson equation

We need to solve equations like

$$-\text{div} [\epsilon_R \nabla V] + \int_0^{L_z} \mathcal{A}(z, \zeta) V(\zeta) d\zeta = \text{rhs}$$

The derivatives are discretized by finite differences in alternate directions, the integral is computed via trapezoid rule and the banded linear system is solved by means of Library of Iterative Solvers “*-i idrs -p iluc -tol 1.0e-12*”.

Outline

- 1 The model
 - Introduction
 - Modelling
- 2 Numerical schemes
 - Iterative schemes for the Schrödinger-Poisson block
 - Solvers for Schrödinger and Poisson
 - **Numerical methods for the BTE**
 - Parallelization on CPU
 - Parallelization on GPU
- 3 Experiments
 - Long-time behavior
 - Parallel
 - Comparison to Monte-Carlo
 - Plasma oscillations (from the one-valley solver)

Adimensionalization of the wave-vector space

The wave-vector space is adimensionalized by a change of variables into ellipsoidal variables, in order to better integrate the scattering operator and to have a simple expression for the kinetic energy and related magnitudes.

Ellipsoidal coordinated

The wave-vector for the ν^{th} valley reads:

$$(\tilde{k}_x, \tilde{k}_y) = \frac{\sqrt{m_e \kappa_B T_L}}{\hbar} \sqrt{2w(1 + \alpha_\nu w)} (\sqrt{m_{x,\nu}} \cos(\phi), \sqrt{m_{y,\nu}} \sin(\phi)) .$$

The Jacobian

The magnitude $s_\nu(w)$ represents the dimensionless Jacobian of the change of variables in the wave-vector space:

$$s_\nu(w) = \left| \det \frac{\partial (k_x, k_y)}{\partial (w, \phi)} \right| = \sqrt{m_{x,\nu} m_{y,\nu}} (1 + 2\alpha_\nu w) .$$

Adimensionalization of the wave-vector space

The wave-vector space is adimensionalized by a change of variables into ellipsoidal variables, in order to better integrate the scattering operator and to have a simple expression for the kinetic energy and related magnitudes.

Ellipsoidal coordinated

The wave-vector for the ν^{th} valley reads:

$$(\tilde{k}_x, \tilde{k}_y) = \frac{\sqrt{m_e \kappa_B T_L}}{\hbar} \sqrt{2w(1 + \alpha_\nu w)} (\sqrt{m_{x,\nu}} \cos(\phi), \sqrt{m_{y,\nu}} \sin(\phi)) .$$

The Jacobian

The magnitude $s_\nu(w)$ represents the dimensionless Jacobian of the change of variables in the wave-vector space:

$$s_\nu(w) = \left| \det \frac{\partial (k_x, k_y)}{\partial (w, \phi)} \right| = \sqrt{m_{x,\nu} m_{y,\nu}} (1 + 2\alpha_\nu w) .$$

BTE in ellipsoidal coordinates

Let the flux coefficients

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_{\nu}^1(w, \phi) &= \frac{\sqrt{2w(1 + \alpha_{\nu}w)} \cos(\phi)}{\sqrt{m_{x,\nu}}} \frac{1}{1 + 2\alpha_{\nu}w} \\
 a_{\nu,p}^2(x, w, \phi) &= -\frac{\partial \epsilon_{\nu,p}}{\partial x} \frac{1}{1 + 2\alpha_{\nu}w} \frac{\sqrt{2w(1 + \alpha_{\nu}w)} \cos(\phi)}{\sqrt{m_{x,\nu}}} \\
 a_{\nu,p}^3(x, w, \phi) &= \frac{\partial \epsilon_{\nu,p}}{\partial x} \frac{\sin(\phi)}{\sqrt{m_{x,\nu}} \sqrt{2w(1 + \alpha_{\nu}w)}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Conservation-law form

$$\frac{\partial \Phi_{\nu,p}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} [a_{\nu}^1 \Phi_{\nu,p}] + \frac{\partial}{\partial w} [a_{\nu,p}^2 \Phi_{\nu,p}] + \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} [a_{\nu,p}^3 \Phi_{\nu,p}] = \mathcal{Q}_{\nu,p}[\Phi]s(w)$$

BTE in ellipsoidal coordinates

Let the flux coefficients

$$a_{\nu}^1(w, \phi) = \frac{\sqrt{2w(1 + \alpha_{\nu}w)} \cos(\phi)}{\sqrt{m_{x,\nu}}} \frac{1}{1 + 2\alpha_{\nu}w}$$

$$a_{\nu,p}^2(x, w, \phi) = -\frac{\partial \epsilon_{\nu,p}}{\partial x} \frac{1}{1 + 2\alpha_{\nu}w} \frac{\sqrt{2w(1 + \alpha_{\nu}w)} \cos(\phi)}{\sqrt{m_{x,\nu}}}$$

$$a_{\nu,p}^3(x, w, \phi) = \frac{\partial \epsilon_{\nu,p}}{\partial x} \frac{\sin(\phi)}{\sqrt{m_{x,\nu}} \sqrt{2w(1 + \alpha_{\nu}w)}}.$$

Conservation-law form

$$\frac{\partial \Phi_{\nu,p}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} [a_{\nu}^1 \Phi_{\nu,p}] + \frac{\partial}{\partial w} [a_{\nu,p}^2 \Phi_{\nu,p}] + \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} [a_{\nu,p}^3 \Phi_{\nu,p}] = \mathcal{Q}_{\nu,p}[\Phi]s(w)$$

Runge-Kutta time integration

We use a Runge-Kutta time discretization.

Runge-Kutta

We advance in time by the third order Total Variation Diminishing Runge-Kutta scheme: if the evolution equation reads

$$H_{\nu,p}(\Phi) := -\frac{\partial}{\partial x} [a_{\nu}^1 \Phi_{\nu,p}] - \frac{\partial}{\partial w} [a_{\nu,p}^2 \Phi_{\nu,p}] - \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} [a_{\nu,p}^3 \Phi_{\nu,p}] + \mathcal{Q}_{\nu,p}[\Phi]s(w)$$

(no explicit time-dependency), then

- 1 $\Phi_{\nu,p}^{(1)} = \Delta t H_{\nu,p}(\Phi^n)$
- 2 $\Phi_{\nu,p}^{(2)} = \frac{3}{4} \Phi_{\nu,p}^n + \frac{1}{4} \Phi_{\nu,p}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{4} \Delta t H_{\nu,p}(\Phi^{(1)})$
- 3 $\Phi^{n+1} = \frac{1}{3} \Phi_{\nu,p}^n + \frac{2}{3} \Phi_{\nu,p}^{(2)} + \frac{2}{3} H_{\nu,p}(\Phi^{(2)})$


Boundary conditions for the BTE

x-derivative

We use inflow/outflow b.c.: for the incoming particles

$$f_{\nu,p}(t, -x, w, \phi) = \frac{\varrho_{\nu,p}^{\text{eq}}(0)}{\varrho_{\nu,p}(0)} f_{\nu,p}(t, 0, w, \phi),$$


so as to try having $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_{\nu,p}(t, 0, \mathbf{k}) d\mathbf{k} = \varrho_{\nu,p}^{\text{eq}}$. For the outgoing particles, we just use homogeneous Neumann b.c.




Boundary conditions for the BTE

w -derivative

$w = 0$ is no real boundary; ghost points at $(-w, \phi)$ are physical points at $(w, \phi + \pi)$.
At $w = w_{max}$ there should be no particles, for properly chosen meshes: just use homogeneous Neumann b.c.



WAVE VECTOR VIEW





Ghost points for negative energy are physical points for a π -shifted angle.

(ENERGY, ANGLE)-VIEW

Boundary conditions for the BTE

ϕ -derivative

As ϕ represents an angle, periodicity conditions are taken.



Integrating the scattering operator

Elastic phenomena

$$Q_{\nu,p}[\Phi]s_{\nu}(w) = C_1^Q \sum_{p'} \frac{1}{W_{(\nu,p') \leftrightarrow (\nu,p)}} \mathbb{I}_{\{\Gamma_0 \geq 0\}} \\ \times \left[s_{\nu}(w) \int_{\phi'=0}^{2\pi} \Phi_{\nu,p'}(\Gamma_0, \phi') d\phi' - 2\pi \Phi_{\nu,p} s_{\nu}(\Gamma_0) \right]$$

Energy gap

When electrons change their state from (ν, p) to (ν', p') , energy jumps appear:

$$\Gamma_0(x, w) = \epsilon_{\nu,p}^{\text{tot}}(x, w) - \epsilon_{\nu',p'}(x).$$

Remark, nevertheless, that they do not exchange energies with the phonons (elastic interaction).

Integrating the scattering operator

Here go the formulae for the integration of the collisional operator in the ellipsoidal dimensionless variables.

Inelastic phenomena

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathcal{Q}_{\nu,p}[\Phi]s_{\nu}(w) \\
 &= C^{\mathcal{Q}}s_{\nu}(w) \sum_{\nu',p'} \frac{\gamma_{\nu' \rightarrow \nu} N_{\nu' \rightarrow \nu}}{W_{(\nu',p') \leftrightarrow (\nu,p)}} \mathbb{I}_{\{\Gamma_- \geq 0\}} \int_{\phi'=0}^{2\pi} \Phi_{\nu',p'}(\Gamma_-, \phi') d\phi' \\
 &+ C^{\mathcal{Q}}s_{\nu}(w) \sum_{\nu',p'} \frac{\gamma_{\nu' \rightarrow \nu} (N_{\nu' \rightarrow \nu} + 1)}{W_{(\nu',p') \leftrightarrow (\nu,p)}} \mathbb{I}_{\{\Gamma_+ \geq 0\}} \int_{\phi'=0}^{2\pi} \Phi_{\nu',p'}(\Gamma_+, \phi') d\phi' \\
 &- C^{\mathcal{Q}}2\pi \Phi_{\nu,p}(w, \phi) \sum_{\nu',p'} \frac{\gamma_{\nu \rightarrow \nu'} N_{\nu \rightarrow \nu'}}{W_{(\nu,p) \leftrightarrow (\nu',p')}} \mathbb{I}_{\{\Gamma_+ \geq 0\}} s_{\nu'}(\Gamma_+) \\
 &- C^{\mathcal{Q}}2\pi \Phi_{\nu,p}(w, \phi) \sum_{\nu',p'} \frac{\gamma_{\nu \rightarrow \nu'} (N_{\nu \rightarrow \nu'} + 1)}{W_{(\nu,p) \leftrightarrow (\nu',p')}} \mathbb{I}_{\{\Gamma_- \geq 0\}} s_{\nu'}(\Gamma_-)
 \end{aligned}$$

Integrating the scattering operator

Energy gaps

When electrons change their state from (ν, p) to (ν', p') , energy jumps appear:

$$\Gamma_{\pm}(x, w) = \epsilon_{\nu, p}^{\text{tot}}(x, w) - \epsilon_{\nu', p'}(x) \pm \frac{\hbar\omega}{\kappa_{\text{B}}T_{\text{L}}}$$

Remark that, for inelastic interactions, they exchange energies $\hbar\omega$ with the phonons.

Occupation numbers

The occupation numbers read

$$N_{\nu \rightarrow \nu'} = \frac{\sqrt{\frac{m_{x, \nu} m_{y, \nu}}{m_{x, \nu'} m_{y, \nu'}}} \frac{1+2\alpha_{\nu}}{1+2\alpha_{\nu'}} e^{\frac{\hbar\omega}{\kappa_{\text{B}}T_{\text{L}}}} + 1}{\left(e^{\frac{\hbar\omega}{\kappa_{\text{B}}T_{\text{L}}}} + 1 \right) \left(e^{\frac{\hbar\omega}{\kappa_{\text{B}}T_{\text{L}}}} - 1 \right)},$$

for intra-valley phenomena ($\gamma_{\nu \rightarrow \nu'} = 0$ for $\nu' \neq \nu$), reduce to the well-known

$$N = \frac{1}{e^{\frac{\hbar\omega}{\kappa_{\text{B}}T_{\text{L}}}} - 1}.$$

Summary

Up to some constants here omitted, the system rewrites in dimensionless form:

$$\text{(Boltzmann)} \quad \frac{\partial \Phi_{\nu,p}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} [a_{\nu}^1 \Phi_{\nu,p}] + \frac{\partial}{\partial w} [a_{\nu,p}^2 \Phi_{\nu,p}] + \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} [a_{\nu,p}^3 \Phi_{\nu,p}] = \mathcal{Q}_{\nu,p}[\Phi]s(w)$$


$$\text{(Schrödinger)} \quad - \frac{d}{dz} \left[\frac{1}{m_{z,\nu}} \frac{d\psi_{\nu,p}[V]}{dz} \right] - (V + V_c) \psi_{\nu,p}[V] = \epsilon_{\nu,p}[V] \psi_{\nu,p}[V]$$

$$\text{(Poisson)} \quad - \operatorname{div} [\epsilon_R \nabla V] = - (N[V] - N_D)$$

Outline

- 1 The model
 - Introduction
 - Modelling
- 2 Numerical schemes
 - Iterative schemes for the Schrödinger-Poisson block
 - Solvers for Schrödinger and Poisson
 - Numerical methods for the BTE
 - **Parallelization on CPU**
 - Parallelization on GPU
- 3 Experiments
 - Long-time behavior
 - Parallel
 - Comparison to Monte-Carlo
 - Plasma oscillations (from the one-valley solver)

Parallelization: 1D block decomposition



The BTE

In order to parallelize Runge-Kutta, we cut the x -dimension:

$$H_{\nu,p}(\Phi) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[a_{\nu}^1 \Phi_{\nu,p} \right] - \frac{\partial}{\partial w} \left[a_{\nu,p}^2 \Phi_{\nu,p} \right] - \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \left[a_{\nu,p}^3 \Phi_{\nu,p} \right] + Q_{\nu,p}[\Phi]s(w),$$

We need MPI to exchange data among different processes, namely for $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[a_{\nu}^1 \Phi_{\nu,p} \right]$.

The Schrödinger equation

Same decomposition for the eigenvalue problem, in which x only acts as a parameter:

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2} \frac{d}{dz} \left[\frac{1}{m_{z,\nu}} \frac{d\psi_{\nu,p}[V]}{dz} \right] - q(V + V_c) \psi_{\nu,p}[V] = \epsilon_{\nu,p}[V] \psi_{\nu,p}[V].$$

Parallelization of Newton-Raphson




Figure: Decomposition of (x, z) taken as a unique dimension.

Updating the potential


$$-\operatorname{div}(\varepsilon_R \nabla V^{\text{new}}) + \int_0^{L_z} \mathcal{A}(z, \zeta) V^{\text{new}}(\zeta) d\zeta = -\frac{q}{\varepsilon_0} (N - N_D) + \int_0^{L_z} \mathcal{A}(z, \zeta) V^{\text{old}}(\zeta) d\zeta$$

The tasks are shared among the processes: the sole MPI-exchange is V^{new} .


Outline

- 1 The model
 - Introduction
 - Modelling
- 2 Numerical schemes
 - Iterative schemes for the Schrödinger-Poisson block
 - Solvers for Schrödinger and Poisson
 - Numerical methods for the BTE
 - Parallelization on CPU
 - **Parallelization on GPU**
- 3 Experiments
 - Long-time behavior
 - Parallel
 - Comparison to Monte-Carlo
 - Plasma oscillations (from the one-valley solver)


Overall design of the solver



Advancing the pdf



Computation of the eigenstates



Outline



- 1 The model
 - Introduction
 - Modelling
- 2 Numerical schemes
 - Iterative schemes for the Schrödinger-Poisson block
 - Solvers for Schrödinger and Poisson
 - Numerical methods for the BTE
 - Parallelization on CPU
 - Parallelization on GPU
- 3 Experiments
 - **Long-time behavior**
 - Parallel
 - Comparison to Monte-Carlo
 - Plasma oscillations (from the one-valley solver)

Long-time behavior

We propose now some results relative to the long-time behavior of the system.


Outline

- 1 The model
 - Introduction
 - Modelling
- 2 Numerical schemes
 - Iterative schemes for the Schrödinger-Poisson block
 - Solvers for Schrödinger and Poisson
 - Numerical methods for the BTE
 - Parallelization on CPU
 - Parallelization on GPU
- 3 Experiments
 - Long-time behavior
 - **Parallel**
 - Comparison to Monte-Carlo
 - Plasma oscillations (from the one-valley solver)




Parallel

Parallel performances on CPU




Parallel performances on GPU

Even if the implementation is naïve, and the heavy calculations for the eigenproblem are still performed on the CPU, GPU (GForce GTX 560) is 12 times faster than a mono-core version on the CPU (Intel i7 2.67 GHz).



Parallel performances on GPU

The bottleneck is right now, of course, the computation of the eigenstates.







Outline

- 1 The model
 - Introduction
 - Modelling

- 2 Numerical schemes
 - Iterative schemes for the Schrödinger-Poisson block
 - Solvers for Schrödinger and Poisson
 - Numerical methods for the BTE
 - Parallelization on CPU
 - Parallelization on GPU


- 3 Experiments
 - Long-time behavior
 - Parallel
 - **Comparison to Monte-Carlo**
 - Plasma oscillations (from the one-valley solver)

Comparison to Monte-Carlo




Outline

- 1 The model
 - Introduction
 - Modelling
- 2 Numerical schemes
 - Iterative schemes for the Schrödinger-Poisson block
 - Solvers for Schrödinger and Poisson
 - Numerical methods for the BTE
 - Parallelization on CPU
 - Parallelization on GPU
- 3 Experiments
 - Long-time behavior
 - Parallel
 - Comparison to Monte-Carlo
 - Plasma oscillations (from the one-valley solver)



Plasma oscillations (from the one-valley solver)

Mass and temperature oscillations



Numerically-computed oscillations

The plasma frequency is given by

$$\omega_p = \sqrt{\frac{q^2 N_e}{\epsilon_R \epsilon_0 m_\star}}$$

N_D^{high} ($\times 10^{26} \text{m}^{-3}$)	ϵ_R	m_\star	N_e ($\times 10^{26} \text{m}^{-3}$)	ω_{num} ($\times 10^{14} \text{s}^{-1}$)	ω_p ($\times 10^{14} \text{s}^{-1}$)	Ratio $\frac{\omega_{\text{num}}}{\omega_{\text{ref}}}$	Expected Ratio
1	11.7	0.5	.400	$\omega_{\text{ref}} = 1.344$	1.475	1	/
2	11.7	0.5	.783	2.051	2.064	1.52	$\sqrt{2}$
4	11.7	0.5	1.544	2.813	2.899	2.09	2
1	5.85	0.5	.400	1.848	2.086	1.37	$\sqrt{2}$

¡GRACIAS!

Se agradece el proyecto **MTM2011-27739-C04-02** (Ecuaciones en Derivadas Parciales en Física y Biología-Matemática: modelos micro y macroscópicos).